MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name Respondent Name

DOCTORS HOSPITAL AT RENAISSANCE TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-17-1985-01 Box Number 54

MFDR Date Received

February 28, 2017

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "After reviewing the account we have concluded that reimbursement received

was inaccurate."

Amount in Dispute: \$1,223.38

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "the hospital NCCI edit shows code 96374 is comprehended to code 26608 unless a modifier is applied. . . . Review of the bill shows none."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Company

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
November 8, 2016	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$1,223.38	\$6.46

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403 sets out the acute care hospital fee guideline for outpatient services.
- 3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - P12 WORKERS' COMPENSATION JURISDICTIONAL FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT
 - 236 THIS BILLING CODE IS NOT COMPATIBLE WITH ANOTHER BILLING CODE PROVIDED ON THE SAME DAY ACCORDING TO NCCI OR WORKERS COMPENSATION STATE REGULATIONS /FEE SCHEDULE REQUIREMENTS.
 - 97 THE BENEFIT FOR THIS SERVICE IS INCLUDED IN THE PAYMENT/ALLOWANCE FOR ANOTHER SERVICE/PROCEDURE THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN ADJUDICATED.

- 217 THE VALUE OF THIS PROCEDURE IS INCLUDED IN THE VALUE OF ANOTHER PROCEDURE PERFORMED ON THIS DATE.
- 305 THE IMPLANT IS INCLUDED IN THIS BILLING AND IS REIMBURSED AT THE HIGHER PERCENTAGE CALCULATION.
- 435 PER NCCI EDITS, THE VALUE OF THIS PROCEDURE IS INCLUDED IN THE VALUE OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PROCEDURE.
- 617 THIS ITEM OR SERVICE IS NOT COVERED OR PAYABLE UNDER THE MEDICARE OUTPATIENT FEE SCHEDULE.
- 618 THE VALUE OF THIS PROCEDURE IS PACKAGED INTO THE PAYMENT OF OTHER SERVICES PERFORMED ON THE SAME DATE OF SERVICE.
- 725 APPROVED NON NETWORK PROVIDER FOR TEXAS STAR NETWORK CLAIMANT PER RULE 1305.153 (C).
- 767 PAID PER O/P FG AT 200%: IMPLANTS NOT APPLICABLE OR SEPARATE REIMBURSEMENT (WITH CERT) NOT REQUESTED PER RULE 134.403(G).
- W3 IN ACCORDANCE WITH TDI-DWC RULE 134.804, THIS BILL HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED AS A REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OR APPEAL.
- 193 ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. UPON REVIEW, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THIS CLAIM WAS PROCESSED PROPERLY.
- 724 NO ADDITIONAL PAYMENT AFTER A RECONSIDERATION OF SERVICES. FOR INFORMATION CALL 1-800-937-6824

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
- 2. What is the recommended payment amount for the services in dispute?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

- 1. This dispute regards outpatient hospital facility services with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, which requires that the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register with the application of minimal modifications as set forth in the rule.
 - Per §134.403(f)(1), the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 200 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables. Review of the submitted documentation finds that separate reimbursement for implantables was not requested.
- 2. Medicare's Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) assigns an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) for billed services based on procedure codes and supporting documentation. The APC determines the payment rate. Hospitals may be paid for more than one APC per encounter. Payment for ancillary items and services without procedure codes is packaged into the payment for the APC. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) publishes quarterly lists of APC rates in the OPPS final rules, available from www.cms.gov.
 - The insurance carrier asserts in their position statement that the wage index for this facility is 0.7989. Review of Medicare payment policies finds that this is not correct. The above wage index is the Fiscal Year 2016 (FY 2016) wage index for that facility; however, the date of service is November 8, 2016. Federal Fiscal Year 2017 began on October 1, 2016. The disputed date of service, therefore, fell in FY 2017. While the OPPS payment rules are effective for the calendar year (CY 2016), the wage index factor is effective for the duration of the fiscal year. Accordingly, a facility's wage index typically changes in the last quarter of the calendar year. Review of Medicare's published FY 2017 final wage index Table 2 CN (with correction notice), available: www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/AcuteInpatientPPS/Downloads/FY2017-CMS-1655-FR-Table-2-3.zip, finds that the facility's final FY 2017 wage index effective on the disputed date of service is 0.8026.

Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:

• Procedure code C1713 has status indicator N, denoting packaged supplies integral to the total service package with no separate payment; reimbursement is included in the payment for the primary services.

- Procedure code 26608 has status indicator T, denoting significant outpatient procedures paid by APC. This is assigned APC 5121. The OPPS Addendum A payment rate is \$1,455.26. This is multiplied by 60% for an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$873.16, which is multiplied by the facility wage index of 0.8026 for an adjusted labor amount of \$700.80. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate, or \$582.10. The sum of the labor and non-labor portions is \$1,282.90. The cost of these services does not exceed the fixed-dollar threshold of \$3,250. The outlier payment is \$0. The Medicare facility specific reimbursement of \$1,282.90, is multiplied by 200% for a MAR of \$2,565.80.
- Procedure code J2250, 94760, J2405, J0690, J3010, J2001, J2175 and J2765 have status indicator N, denoting packaged codes integral to the total service package with no separate payment; reimbursement is included in the payment for the primary service.
- Procedure code A9270 has status indicator E, denoting excluded or non-covered codes not payable on an outpatient bill. Payment is not recommended.
- Per Medicare policy, procedure code 96374 may not be reported with procedure code 26608 billed on the same claim. Reimbursement for this service is included with payment for the primary procedure. Separate payment is not recommended.
- 3. The total recommended reimbursement for the disputed services is \$2,565.80. The insurance carrier has paid \$2,559.34 leaving an amount due to the requestor of \$6.46. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

In resolving disputes regarding the amount of payment due for health care determined to be medically necessary and appropriate for treatment of a compensable injury, the role of the division is to adjudicate the payment, given the relevant statutory provisions and division rules.

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$6.46.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Section 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor \$6.46, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

		ature

	Grayson Richardson	March 24, 2017	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	<u> </u>

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with Rule §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings* **and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.